UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,203	07/25/2003	Michael R. Manzano	TPTC-1-1006	2699
	7590 08/16/200 E & GRAHAM, PLLC		EXAMINER	
701 FIFTH AVENUE		•	TRUONG, LECHI	
SUITE 4800 SEATTLE, WA	N 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/627,203	MANZANO, MICHAEL R.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		LeChi Truong	2194				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Fails Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed petent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 188(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOt e, cause the application to become Al	CATION reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 h	1ay 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.C	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		·				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	l <b>.</b>					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
1 -	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		-			
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	ļ			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	•		1			
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachme	nt(s)	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application				
	The state of the s		<del>_</del>	4			

Application/Control Number: 10/627,203

Art Unit: 2194

## · DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-14 are presented for the examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which sald subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheneiderman (US 2002/0156932 A1) in view of Saulpaugh et al (US 7,016966 B1).

As to claim 1, Sheneiderman teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: a mobile agent object (mobile agent, para [0023], ln 1-3/ para [0024], ln 8-10), a mobile to dynamically extend its capabilities (para [0023], ln 1-5), a mobile agent runtime environment (an execution [runtime] environment for the agents, in addition to sub-components for handing real-time collaboration between the mobile agent, para [0024], ln 10-13/ a number of servers interconnect ... launching or migration of agents onto other specified servers... both servers proving in which autonomous mobile agents may be independently executed, para[0101], ln 1-6 and 7-10), a host computing environment (one host, para[0024], 1-4/ para[0025], ln 4-6/computing host in the heterogeneous computing environment, right col 15, ln 14-16),

executing a mobile agent in mobile-agent runtime environment in a host computing environment(para[0103], ln 12-15/ para[0107], ln 1-3/para[0122], ln 1-4).

Scheneiderman does not explicitly teach configuring the mobile agent to install a service object to be executable. However, Saulpaugh teaches configuring the mobile to install a service object to be executable (The method gate may be generated on the client, col 29, ln 10-14/ when client use a method gate to remotely invoke a service method, a reference to the method results may be returned from the service method gate instead of the actual results. From this reference, a results gate may be generated to access the actual result, col 29, ln 55-60/ Results gates may be provided to clients in the distributed computing environment and used by the clients to access results generated by services on behalf of client, col 30, ln 27-31/ col 7, ln 47-55/ col 8, ln 1-5/ ln 36-43/ col 15, ln 20-40).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Scheneiderman to incorporate the feature of configuring the mobile agent to install a service object to be executable because this avoids the complex configuration problems existing in conventional networks for communication and sharing resources.

3. Claims 2-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheneiderman (US 2002/0156932 A1) in view of Yokoayama et al (US 2001/0029526 A1).

As to claim 2, Scheneiderman teaches a first host computing environment, a second host computing environment having a mobile-agent runtime environment (both servers providing an environment in which autonomous mobile agents may be independently executed, para [0101], ln 3-10), accessing, with a first host computing environment, a second host computing environment having a mobile-agent runtime environment (para [0101], ln 3-10/ para [0122], ln 12-22), a first mobile-agent object operable (the mobile agents, para[0024], ln 6-13/para[0107], ln 1-3), operable to navigate to the second host computing environment( para[0101], ln 5-10/ 0103, ln 10-15/ para[0122], ln 1-3).

Scheneiderman does not explicitly teach generating in the first host a first mobile agent object; install a service object executable in the mobile agent runtime environment. However, Yokoayama teaches generating in the first host a first mobile agent object (the mobile agent 210 is generated, para [0045], ln 12/ Fig. 3 shows the detailed features of the mobile agent distribution program 106 in the server 100,para [0046], ln 1-3), install a service object executable in the mobile agent runtime environment (the service program is formed, para [0055], ln 1-4/ the service program contained in the mobile agent, para [0058], ln 3-4/The traveling list is formed from identified for the traveling destination home terminal and flag data indicating which of the service programs contains in the mobile agent 210 are to be executed... indicates that service A, service C and service D are executed at the home terminal a, para[0058], ln 6-12/ a service to be distributed, para[01050, ln 1-2/ sending from said server to said node a mobile agent containing said traveling data and distribution data formed a program to be executed[a service] at said node/ and data to be used by said node, right col 8, ln 29-33/ the sending of the mobile agents 210 is performed by the mobile agent distribution program 106, col 2, ln 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Scheneiderman to incorporate the features of generating in the first host a first mobile agent object, install a service object executable in the mobile agent runtime environment because this allows a program can be executed while moving between computers for fault avoidance and a fault occurs at an agent destination.

As to claim 3, Schneiderman teaches the mobile agent runtime environment (para [0024], ln 10-13 / para [0101], ln 1-6 and 7-10) and Yokoayama teaches the first mobile agent object is further operable to discover available service associated with the terminal (para [0046], ln 19-24/para [0058], ln 1-12).

As to claim 4, Schneiderman teaches the first host computing environment a second mobile agent object operable to navigate to the second host computing environment (para [0101], ln 5-10/0103, ln 10-15/para [0122], ln 1-3) and Yokoayama teaches generating in the host a second mobile agent object (para [0045], ln 12), information associated with the available service (the traveling list 212 contains information about the service programs to be run at each home terminal, para [0045], ln 19-22), providing to the first host computing environment information associated with the available services (para[0045], ln 2-4 and ln 12-21/para[0046], ln 1-7/ right col 8, ln 28-34/ fig. 2).

As to claim 5, Yokoayama teaches the first mobile agent object includes the service object (para [0058], ln 1-5).

As to claim 6, Yokoayama teaches the first mobile agent object includes at least one service module operable to realize a function of the service object (para [0045], ln 19-24/ para [0049], ln 1-5/ para [0046], ln 1-7).

As to claim 7, it is an apparatus claim of claim 2; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 2 above. In addition, Yokoayama teaches the data structure to navigate (a server apparatus is equipped with method for distributing mobile agents where a traveling list [data structure] is added to the agent program when an agent is distributed, para [0009], ln 2-7), a first instruction / a second instruction (instruction for performing sending and loading, right col 8, ln 29-37/ the sending of the mobile agents 210 is performed by the mobile agent distribution program 106, col 2, ln 1-4).

As to claim 8, it is an apparatus claim of claim 6; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 6 above.

As to claim 9, Yokoayama teaches the installation of at lest one service module in the mobile agent runtime environment (right col 8, ln 29-37).

As to claim 10, it is an apparatus claim of claim 5; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 5 above.

As to claim 11, Yokoayama teaches the data structure further comprises a runtime-data set associated with the service object (para [0058], ln 6-14).

As to claim 13, it is an apparatus claim of claim 2; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 2 above.

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheneiderman (US 2002/0156932 A1) in view of Yokoayama et al (US 2001/0029526 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wang (US 7,092,986 B2).

As to claim 12, Scheneiderman and Yokoayama do not teach the data structure comprises the instruction set that executed enables the use of an API associated with the second host computing environment. However, Wang teaches the data structure comprises the instruction set that executed enables the use of an API associated with the second host computing environment (a structure of the transparent mobile Ipv6 agent according to the present invention is illustrated. As show, a monitoring system 51 and application program 52 has standard functions and standard data structure [data structure] for internal processing a, and has different interfaces [set of interfaces] designed for different operating system. The internal calling the interface [API] of the application system 52, col 3, ln 40-45 and the established application system interface following related interfaces... network access layer API [data structure]: It is responsible for calling an API related service across different network access devices, col 4, ln 4-14).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Scheneiderman, Yokoayama and Wang's systems because the Wang's the instruction set that executed enables the use of an API associated with the second host computing environment would improve the teaching of Scheneiderman, Yokoayama's systems by allowing a transparent mobile agent which is adapted to various operating systems and network connection devices thus resulting in quick information communication over the Internet.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,203 Page 8

Art Unit: 2194

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheneiderman (US 2002/0156932 A1) in view of Yokoayama et al (US 2001/0029526 A1), as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Saulpaugh et al (US 7,016966 B1).

As to claim 14, Scheneiderman and Yokoayama do not teach accessing, on the at least one first computer, the instructions; and transferring the instructions from the at least one first computer to the at least one second computer through the communication medium. However, Saulpaugh teaches accessing, on the at least one first computer, the instructions; and transferring the instructions from the at least one first computer to the at least one second computer through the communication medium (col 29, ln 10-14/ ln 55-60/col 30, ln 27-31/ col 7, ln 47-55/ col 8, ln 1-5/ ln 36-43/ col 15, ln 20-40).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Scheneiderman and Yokoayama to incorporate the feature of accessing, on the at least one first computer, the instructions; and transferring the instructions from the at least one first computer to the at least one second computer through the communication medium because this allows avoids the complex configuration problems existing in conventional networks for communication and sharing resources.

Response to the argument

6. Applicant amendment filed on 05/08/2007 has been considered but they are not persuasive:

Applicant argued in substance that:

- (1) "There is simply no teaching of suggestion in Yokoyama of configuring a mobile agent object to install a service object executable in the mobile-agent runtime environment".
- (2) "Schneideman, Yokoyama and Wang, taken each alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the limitation of claim 7".
- 7. Examiner respectfully disagreed with Applicant's remarks:

As to the point (1), the limitation of configuring a mobile agent object to install a service object executable in the mobile-agent runtime environment was not in claims 2 and 7.

As to the point (2), Yokoyama teaches a server apparatus is equipped with method for distributing mobile agents where a traveling list [data structure] is added to the agent program when an agent is distributed (para [0009], ln 2-7), a first instruction / a second instruction (instruction for performing sending and loading, right col 8, ln 29-37/ the sending of the mobile agents 210 is performed by the mobile agent distribution program 106, col 2, ln 1-4).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272 3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomson, William can be reached on (571) 272 3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,203

Art Unit: 2194

LeChi Truong

July 17, 2007

Will this in the second second

Page 11